PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		WIPO PCT	
To:		PCT.	
Freehills Patent & Trade Mark Attorneys Level 43 101 Collins Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
,		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 2 MAR 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 80773162RNM	FOR FURTHER AC	TION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. International filing	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/AU2004/001763 15 December 2		19 January 2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class Int. Cl. ⁷ G06F 17/30	sification and IPC		
Applicant			
HAMILTON, Nigel		·	
	•		
citations and explanations supporting su Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appli Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is mad Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this design is supported by the preliminary examining and the preliminary examination is made.	(a)(i) with regard to novelty, uch statement lication al application le, this opinion will be considues not apply where the apply	inventive step or industrial applicability; ered to be a written opinion of the International icant chooses an Authority other than this one to	
be the IPBA and the chosen IPBA has notified the Internation Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a writte written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the	nal Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bi</i> en opinion of the IPEA, the a before the expiration of 3 mo	pplicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a on the from the date of mailing of Form	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the IPBA/AU	Authorized Officer		
USTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE O BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA MATTHEW HOLLINGWORTH			
B-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929 Telephone No. (02) 6283 2024			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001763

Вох	No. I	Basis of the opinion	
1.		rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language is as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	1
	the i	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into ollowing language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	•
2.	With rega	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the evention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type o	f material	
		a sequence listing	
	· 🔲	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. forma	t of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time	f filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In a	Idition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been	
	in th	or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	٠.
	•		
4.	Additiona	l comments:	
	•		
	•		
	•		
	•		
	_	·	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001763

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive stapplicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		e step or industrial
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 2-9, 12-29, 31, 33-34, 38-39	YES
t.	Claims 1, 10-11, 30, 32, 35-37, 40	NO .
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
·	Claims 1-40	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-40	YES
	Claims	NO
	•	

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1: US 2004/0003351 A1 (SOMMERER et al), 1 January 2004
- D2: WO 02/35335 A2 (NAVIGATIONZONE LTD), 2 May 2002
- D3: US 2004/0001104 A1 (SOMMERER et al), 1 January 2004
- D4: C. Bouras et al, Introducing Navigation Graphs as a Technique for Improving WWW User Browsing
- D5: US 6,195,679 B1 (BAUERSFELD et al), 27 February 2001

NOVELTY (N) claims 1, 10-11, 30, 32, 35-37, 40

Claims 1, 10-11, 30, 32, 35-37, 40: These claims lack novelty when compared to any one of documents D1-D3 and D5.

Claims 1 and 32, at least: D4 discloses all the features of these claims.

INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 1-40

Claims 1, 10-11, 30, 32, 35-37, 40: As above.

Claims 9, 12-17, 31: The features of these claims are not seen to confer inventive step in light of any of D1-D3. They relate to details of implementation, and would be contemplated as a matter of course by the skilled addressee when considered the prior art.

Claims 2-8, 18-22, 33, 38: The additional features of these claims relate to the matching of an HTML form structure to forms used by known third-party search engines, thereby allowing search queries to be intercepted by the trail recorder. While this aspect of the invention is not disclosed in any of D1-D3, it is not seen to inventively distinguish the claims from them, since the use of wrappers in this fashion is a well-known technique and would be readily applied to these citations.

Claims 23-29, 34, 39: These claims lack inventive step in light of D4. The additional features of these claims relate to the recalling of previous search trails which may be relevant to the current search query. While D4 makes no explicit disclosure of the claimed steps, it makes a strong suggestion that such steps could be performed. In section 5, "Proposed Enhancements," the possibility of archiving a user's navigation graphs is made. "This could lead to the provision of 'reminders' that would examine the current NG and when finding a relation with an older one, pass information concerning possible next links to the user enabling him to choose." It is considered that the claimed arrangements would follow as a matter of course in light of this disclosure.